UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,319	02/05/2007	Xaver Wirth	566/44949	5577
	7590 12/03/201 HORNBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
750-17TH STR		NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-4675			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3657	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vrobertson@btlaw.com DocketingPAT-DC@btlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/578,319	WIRTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lan Nguyen	3657				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
· ·	N V IO OET TO EVDIDE OMONITIV	(O) OD THIRTY (OO) BAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	September 2010.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	-					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/14/10</u> .	5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☑ Other: <u>approved sp</u>	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amendment to the specification filed on 9/27/10 has been approved and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - The singularity and plurality of the claimed features: sliding elements, grooves, bolt, through hole, insertion hole, etc. as recited in the claims are very confusing. It is unclear the exact structure of the disk that is being claimed. Applicant is urged to review the claims and to clarify the plurality/singularity and the corresponding plurality/singularity of the grooves, the sliding elements, the through holes and the bolts.
 - It is noted that Applicant did not correct the deficiencies in the antecedent basis
 for the claimed features: through holes, grooves, sliding elements, etc. in the
 dependent claims. Applicant is again urged to review the claims and provide
 proper antecedent basis for these claimed features.

Art Unit: 3657

 Due to the above noted deficiencies, claims 1-19 are being treated as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eaton et al. (3,295,641).

Re: claim 1, Eaton shows a brake disk for a rail vehicle, as in the present invention, the brake disk comprising: a hub 2, at least one friction ring 4 having a radial groove 5 and fastened by a clamping bolt 11 to the hub, a sliding element 6 having a shank and being connected to the hub, the sliding element engaging in the radial groove for anti-rotation locking and centering of the at least one friction ring; and the sliding element extending parallel to an axis of the clamping bolt, as shown in figure 1.

Re: claims 2 and 15, Easton shows the groove extending in towards or out away from the center of the hub as claimed, in figures 1 and 3.

Re: claims 3, 4, 6, 14 and 16, Eaton shows a though hole, not numbered, in the hub where pin 6 is located; said sliding element is a guide pin with a head in said groove as claimed and said sliding element is a straight pin.

Art Unit: 3657

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5, 7-13 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eaton et al. (3,295,641).

Re: claims 5, 7, 17 and 18, the claimed features in claims 5, 7, 17 and 18 are considered to be engineering design choices to fit a certain size and/or shape as required in order to meet certain requirements of strength and performance and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected a certain size and/or shape as a routine in the engineering design process.

Re: claims 8 and 9, the different shapes of the sliding element are considered to be obvious variants of the sliding elements and would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art to have selected one of these shaped sliding elements to be used in the disk of Eaton and still achieves the same result of anti-rotation locking and centering of the disk.

Re: claims 10-13, Eaton's disk, as rejected above, shows the sliding element being arranged in a circumference of the hub but lacks the number of sliding elements.

The numbers of the sliding elements are considered to be engineering design choices in order to meet certain requirements of strength and performance while provide adequate

thermal expansion and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected a certain number of sliding elements as a routine in the engineering design process.

Re: claim 19, Eaton does not show the material of the sliding element. The Examiner takes an official notice that steel is a well known and a standard material for use in pins, bolts, screws, etc. for its strength and durability.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments, filed 9/27/10, with respect to the rejection(s) of the claim(s) under Lehmann et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Eaton et al. as presented above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3657

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Xuan Lan Nguyen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3657